UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/760,092 | 01/19/2004 | Mitsuhiro Hirabayashi | 450100-04887 | 2150 |
| William S. Fror | 7590 05/21/201 nmer. Esa. | EXAMINER | | |
| FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG LLP 745 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10151 | | | MOBIN, HASANUL | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2168 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 05/21/2010 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| Notice of Panel Decision | Application/Control No. | Reexamination | |
|---------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------------|--|
| from Pre-Appeal Brief | 10/760,092 | HIRABAYASHI ET AL. | |
| Review | | Art Unit | |
| Review | Hasanul Mobin | 2168 | |
| | - | | |

| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for | Review filed 1 September 2009. |
|---|---|
| Improper Request – The Request is improper reason(s): | er and a conference will not be held for the following |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed cor ☐ The request does not include reasons why ☐ A proposed amendment is included with the ☐ Other: . | a review is appropriate. |
| The time period for filing a response continues to ruthe mail date of the last Office communication, if no | un from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from Notice of Appeal has been received. |
| held. The application remains under appeal becaus is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, wh | nterferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been se there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal decision, or the balance of the two-month time period ichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the ed upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date |
| ☐ The panel has determined the status of the Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-9,11-35,37-41 and 43-48. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | |
| 3. ☐ Allowable application – A conference has b Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits applicant at this time. | een held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of sremains closed. No further action is required by |
| 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A conference has be action will be mailed. No further action is required l | en held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office by applicant at this time. |
| All participants: | |
| (1) <u>TIM T. VO</u> . | (3) <u>Hasanul Mobin</u> . |
| (2) <u>Luke Wassum</u> . | (4) |
| /Tim T. Vo/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2168 | |